
Evaluation of tolerance in *Macrophomina phaseolina*, *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Trichoderma viride* and *Gliocladium virens* to fungicides

SURAJIT KHALKO, SUBHENDU JASH, SHAONLI BOSE, MANISHA ROY AND SITANSU PAN
Department of Plant Pathology, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia 741252, W. B.

Four fungicides, viz. blitox (copper oxychloride), dithane M-45 (mancozeb), captaf (captan) and bavistin (carbendazim) were evaluated at five different concentrations (50, 100, 200, 300 and 400 ppm) against *Macrophomina phaseolina*, the casual agent of stem rot of jute, the biocontrol agents *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Trichoderma viride* and *Gliocladium virens*. Dithane M-45 was highly suitable for integration with all the antagonists as it totally inhibited the mycelial growth of the pathogen but not as inhibitory to the antagonists. The antagonists were found to be moderately sensitive to captaf and blitox, therefore, these could also be integrated with some limitation. Bavistin should not be used for integration as it totally inhibited the growth of antagonists.

Key Words : Fungicides, tolerance, *Macrophomina phaseolina*, *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Trichoderma viride*, *Gliocladium virens*

INTRODUCTION

Stem rot, caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina*, is widely prevalent disease of jute in India. In the past severe outbreaks of this disease have caused considerable damage in Bangladesh and West Bengal (Rangaswami and Mahadevan, 1999). *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium* spp. the most common soil inhabitants, are widely used to control a number of diseases due to soil borne plant pathogens (Papavizas, 1985). Search for effective biocontrol agents for the management of plant diseases have been intensified in recent years to reduce the dependence on ecologically hazardous chemicals (Mukhopadhyay, 1987, 1996). *Trichoderma* spp. have gained considerable importance either alone or integrated with lower dose of fungicides for the management of soilborne plant pathogens. Since the biocontrol agents have to be applied in soil it becomes imperative to ascertain its tolerance to agrochemicals used in crop production technology (Sharma and Mishra, 1995). Hence, in the present study different fungicides have been evaluated at various concentrations to know the

tolerance limit of some biocontrol agents and the pathogen, *M. phaseolina*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The different biocontrol agents *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *G. virens* were isolated from rhizosphere soil of different crops of different sources by dilution plate technique (Harris and Sommers, 1968) using modified *Trichoderma* specific medium (Saha and Pan, 1997). The isolates were maintained on potato dextrose agar (PDA) slants at 4°C for subsequent uses. The pathogen, *M. phaseolina*, was isolated from infected stem portion of jute by using tissue segment method (Rangaswami, 1958).

Fungicides viz., blitox (copper oxychloride), dithane M-45 (mancozeb), captaf (captan) and bavistin (carbendazim), were tested at 5 different concentrations (50, 100, 200, 300 and 400 ppm) against the pathogen and antagonists *in vitro* by using Poisoned Food Technique (Fisher, 1969) to determine the most effective fungicide(s) for integration with bioagents which might inhibit the

growth of the pathogen but not that of the antagonists. Four (4)mm mycelial disc of pathogen and antagonist of 3 days old culture were transferred to fungicide amended PDA plates. Control plates without any fungicides were also simultaneously inoculated for comparison. The inoculated plates were incubated at $28 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ till the pathogen and antagonists covered the PDA in control plates. The linear growth of the colony in each treatment was measured in two directions at right angles to each other. The per cent inhibition of growth in each treatment was calculated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results (Table 1) showed that dithane M-45 totally inhibited the growth of *M. phaseolina* at all the concentrations tested. It inhibited the growth of *T. harzianum* ranging from 1.89 to 14.11%, *T. viride* 8.11 to 48.11% and *G. virens* 16.67% to 34.14% indicating that it was very less inhibitory to all the

antagonists tested. Similarly, Singh *et al.* (1995) stated that the growth of *T. harzianum* (local and MTR-35 isolates) was inhibited 63.0% and 49.0% respectively with 500 ppm of dithane M-45 after 3 days of incubation. In the present study all the antagonists were found to be less affected by dithane M-45. Therefore, dithane M-45 was highly suitable for integration with all the antagonists as it totally inhibited the mycelial growth of the pathogen but not as inhibitory to the antagonists. At 200 ppm captaf inhibited 41.44% growth of the pathogen but in case of *T. harzianum* it was only 5.56% and in case of *G. viride* and *T. viride* 16.89% and 25.88% respectively. According to Sharma *et al.* (2001) captaf inhibited 90% growth of *T. harzianum* at 1040 ppm but captaf was found to be a safe tolerance limit for the biocontrol agent at 169 ppm concentration. Blitox at 400 ppm inhibited 57.77% growth of the pathogen but in case of *T. harzianum*, *G. virens* and *T. viride* 17.78%, 21.11% and 23.33% respectively. From Table 1 it was clear

Table 1 : Effect of different concentrations of fungicides on the radial growth of *Macrophomina phaseolina*, *T. harzianum*, *Trichoderma viride* and *Glioclodium virens*

Fungicides	Concentration (ppm)	Mean growth inhibition (%)			
		<i>M. phaseolina</i>	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>T. viride</i>	<i>G. virens</i>
Blitox (Copper oxychloride)	50	8.89(17.29)	0.00(4.05)	0.00(4.05)	0.00(4.05)
	100	15.55(23.22)	0.00(4.05)	7.78(16.12)	0.00(4.05)
	200	30.00(33.20)	6.67(14.85)	13.33(21.37)	8.89(17.33)
	300	43.33(41.17)	10.00(18.42)	21.11(27.34)	16.67(24.11)
	400	57.77(49.48)	17.78(24.93)	23.33(28.88)	21.11(27.33)
Dithane M-45 (Mancozeb)	50	100(89.55)	1.89(6.53)	8.11(16.47)	16.67(24.12)
	100	100(89.55)	3.67(10.44)	12.55(24.73)	18.11(25.20)
	200	100(89.55)	5.56(13.64)	19.22(25.80)	21.11(27.35)
	300	100(89.55)	6.67(14.54)	13.64(25.46)	26.67(31.08)
	400	100(89.55)	14.11(21.97)	48.11(43.94)	34.44(48.84)
Captaf (Captan)	50	14.44(22.27)	0.00(4.05)	22.22(28.12)	7.78(16.14)
	100	40.00(39.23)	0.00(4.05)	24.44(29.61)	9.22(17.71)
	200	41.44(40.09)	5.56(12.39)	25.88(30.59)	16.89(24.26)
	300	50.67(45.64)	34.44(35.93)	29.66(32.96)	19.67(26.29)
	400	66.33(54.52)	46.33(42.87)	46.33(42.87)	26.33(30.85)
Bavistin (Carbendazim)	50	100(89.55)	100(89.55)	100(89.55)	100(89.55)
	100	100(89.55)	100(89.55)	100(89.55)	100(89.55)
	200	100(89.55)	100(89.55)	100(89.55)	100(89.55)
	300	100(89.55)	100(89.55)	100(89.55)	100(89.55)
	400	100(89.55)	100(89.55)	100(89.55)	100(89.55)
SEm±		0.566	1.6672	1.4141	0.5840
CD at 5%		1.619	4.764	4.041	1.668

that captaf and blitox were moderately inhibitory to the pathogen and less inhibitory to the antagonists. Therefore, these two fungicides could also be integrated with the antagonists with some limitations. Bavistin showed 100% growth inhibition of the pathogen as well as the antagonists at all the concentrations tested. So, bavistin should not be used for integration with the antagonists.

REFERENCES

- Fisher, F. E. 1969. Chemical control of citrus diseases in Florida. *Plant Disease Reporter*. **53** : 19-22.
- Harris, G. E. and Sommers, L. E. 1968. Plate dilution technique for assay of microbial ecology. *Appl. Microbiol.* **16** : 330-334.
- Mukhopadhyay, A. N. 1987 Biological control of soil borne plant pathogens *Ind. Jour. Mycol. Plant. Pathol.* **17**(1) : 1-10.
- Mukhopadhyay, A. N. 1996. *Presidential Address, Agricultural Science, 83rd Indian Science Congress, Patiala.* 1-16.
- Papavizas, G. C. 1985 : *Trichoderma and Gliocladium* : biology, ecology and potential for biocontrol. *Ann. Rev. of Phytopathol* **23** : 23-54.
- Rangaswami, G. 1958. An agar block technique for isolating soil microorganism with special reference to Pythiaceous fungi. *Sci. and cul.* **24** : 85.
- Rangaswami, G. and Mahadevan, A. 1999. Diseases of cash crops. In : *Diseases of crop plants in India.* (4th Ed.) p. 437.
- Saha, D. K. and Pan, S. 1997. Qualitative evaluation of some specific media of *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium* spp. *Jour. of Mycolpathol.* **35**(1) : 7-13.
- Sharma, S. D. and Mishra, A. 1995. Tolerance of *Trichoderma harzianum* to Agrochemicals. *Ind. Jour. Mycol. Plant Pathol.* **25** : 129-130.
- Sharma, S. D., Mishra, A., Pandey, R. N. and Patel, S. J. 2001. Sensitivity of *Trichoderma harzianum* to Fungicides. *Jour. Mycol. Plant Pathol.* **31** : 251-253.
- Singh, R.S., Jindal, A., Singh, D. and Singh, T. 1995. Selection of *Trichoderma* isolates against common Fungicides for their use in Integrated Plant Diseases Management. *Ind. Jour. Mycol. Plant Pathol.* **25** : 127-128.

(Accepted for publication January 4 2006)