## Morphological and cultural characteristics of some storage fungi

## P S MUKHERJEE<sup>1</sup> AND SUNITA MUKHOPADHYAY<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>R R R Mahavidyalaya, Radhanagar, Hooghly and <sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, Burdwan University, Burdwan

Storage fungi inhabiting the seeds, were sutdied on the basis of their morphological and cultural characteristics. Apart from different parameters tested, conidia production was luxuriant in Aspergillus flavus.

Key Words: Storage fungi, morphology, cultural characters \*

Storage fungi play important role in deterioration of seeds in storage (Mukherjee and Nandi, 2002). Several investigators (Mukherjee et al. 1992; Mukherjee and Nandi, 2001) studied the fungi associated with seed in natural storage as well as those under different storage conditions (Mukherjee et al. 2002).

Fungi exhibit characteristic differences in growth patterns in culture, which include morphology, colour, growth rate, texture of the mycelial mat, conidia production and interactions with medium (Christensen and Kaufman, 1969). In the present investigation the morphological and cultural characteristics of some storage fungi are studied.

The test fungi isolated from three economically important seeds [starchy maize (Zea mays CV. Ganga), fatty groundunt (Arachis hypogaea cv. Nizam) and proteinaceous soybean (Glycine max cv. Bragg)], were taken into consideration.

The morphological and cultural characteristics of the test fungi were studied following the method of Nandi and Fries (1976). Macroscopic and microscopic observations were made and the salient features were noted for identification of the fungi.

Storage fungi isolated from the test seeds were mostly belong to the species of *Aspergillus*, which were earlier reported to be the dominant storage fungi in other seeds (cf. Mukherjee *et al*, 1988).

Among the test fungi studied, highest growth was exhibited by A. flavus, followed in decreasing order by A. niger and A. candidus. Production of conidia was luxuriant in A. flavus followed by A. niger, A. versicolor and A. terreus. Other species showed moderate to scanty growth and conidia production. The in-vitro mode of growth of the test fungi also supported their role, in causing qualitative and quantitative deterioration of the affected seeds.

Table 1: Growth characteristics of the storage fungi isolated from test seeds after seven days incubation on Difco-malt-agar medium (\*)

Fungi	Character of colony					Conidia	
	Texture	Colour	Reverse colour	Diameter / (mm)	Dry wt. (mg)	Colour	Number/ Petridish
Asperqillus candidus	Adpressed- thick	White cream	Pale yellow to buff	80	84	Hyaline	$150 \times 10^{6}$
A. chevalieri	Thin, felty, and patchy	Blueish grey	Light brown at centre	80	46	Pale green	$115 \times 10^{6}$
A. flavus	Adpressed, subfelty, widely spreading	Greenish yellow	Nil	90	96	Green	189 × 10 <sup>6</sup>
A. fumigatus	Tufted floccose	Dark Green	Yellowish at centre, diffusing towards peripher	65 y	30	Dark Green	60 × 10 <sup>6</sup>
A. funiculosus	Thin adpressed	Greenish yellow	Dull olive green	75	52	Greenish yellow	$95 \times 10^{6}$
A. niger	Adpressed, floccose	Black	Hyaline to light yellow	86	. 86	Brownish black	$178 \times 10^{6}$
A. panamensis	Thin, submerged scattered	Light brown	Dull brown with zonations	52	46	Light brown	$65 \times 10^{6}$
A. repens	Adpressed to sodden	Dark green	Light yellow, to lemon	58	54	Dull green	86. × 10°
A. ruber	Thin, adpressed to subfelty	Green to olive green	Light brown	60	65	Dull green	$86 \times 10^{6}$
A. sulphureus	Adpressed, powdery	Yellowish green	Light brown	50	62	Yellowish green	95 × 10 <sup>6</sup>
A. terreus	Velvety, adpressed floccose	Pinkish brown to deep brown	Bright yellow to light brown	45	56	Pinkish brown	145 × 10 <sup>6</sup>
A. versicolor	Velvety, supressed floccose	Pea green with shade of yellow	Yellow to light brown	52	46	Pea green	$166 \times 10^{6}$

<sup>\*</sup> Mean of three replicates.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors sincerely thank the authority of the B. U. for the permission to carry out this work. The authors are also indebted to Prof. B Nandi for identifying the test fungi. Thanks are also due to Prof. N. Chatterjee for his help.

## REFERENCES

- Christensen, C. M. and Kaufman, H. H. 1969. *Grain storage:*The role of fungi in quality loss. 153p. Univ. of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, Minn.
- Mukherjee, P. S., and Nandi, B. 2001. Studies on mycotoxin formation in different stored seeds. *J. Mycopathol Res.* **39** (1): 53-55.

- Mukherjee, P. S., and Nandi. B. 2002. Seed health management in tropical storage, Tropical Mycology-Proc. of the 3rd Natl. Symposium, 30-34.
- Mukherjee, P. S., Nandi, S. K. and Nandi, B. 1988. Succession of mycoflora in different seeds in natural storage. Indian J. Mycol. Res 26 (1),41-45.
- Mukherjee, P. S., Nandi, S. K., and Nandi, B. 1992.

  Deteriorative changes in groundnut seeds in storage, J.

  Mycopathol. Res. 30 (2): 113-119.
- Mukherjee, P. S., Mukhopadhyay, Sunita and Nandi, B. 2002. Spoilae of seeds in storage. Abstr of paper in IXh W.B. State Sc. & Tech. Congress, P. Ag. Sc. 46.
- Nandi, B., and Fries, N. 1976. Volatile aldehydes, Ketones, esters and terpenoids as preservative against storage fungi in wheat. Z. Ptlanzenkr. Ptlanzenshuta., 85 (5): 284-294.

(Accepted for publication December 14 2005)