

Intestinal microflora of farm raised Indian major carps, *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala*

PARTHA BANDYOPADHYAY^{1*}, PRADEEP K. DAS MOHAPATRA², AND BIDHAN C. PATRA³

¹Research and Development Division, Latika Sea Food Pvt. Ltd., Jalalkhanbard, Contai-721401, West Bengal;

²Microbiology Research Unit, Department of Botany and Forestry; and ³Aquaculture Research Unit, Department of Zoology, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore-721102, West Bengal

Microflora associated with the intestine of farm raised Indian major carps such as *Catla catla* (Ham.), *Labeo rohita* (Ham.) and *Cirrhinus mrigala* (Ham.) were analyzed. The mean population of total intestinal microflora (TIM) in the intestine of *Catla catla* was 4.62×10^6 colony forming unit (CFU) per gram and that of *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala* was 3.40×10^6 and 1.68×10^7 CFU per gram respectively. Morphological and physiological grouping of the isolates revealed dominance of Gram-negative rod shaped bacteria capable of elaborating various hydrolytic enzymes such as amylase, lipase and gelatinase. Ureolytic forms were relatively few. Characterisation of genera revealed the dominance of *Aeromonas* in the intestine of these fishes. Other genera encountered were *Vibrio*, *Bacillus*, *Moraxella*, *Micrococcus*, *Corynebacterium*, *Flavobacterium* and *Achromobacter*. Results of this experimental work might have valuable implications for the management practices in aquaculture ponds by using these established useful gut-flora.

Key Words : Indian major carps, intestine, microflora

INTRODUCTION

Fish always take a large amount of bacteria into their guts from water, sediments and or food (Sugita *et al.*, 1996). The indigenous microflora of fish in aquaculture has previously been studied for many purposes. This includes relationship between environment and fish microflora (Horsley, 1973), descriptions of microbial spoilage (Joseph *et al.*, 1988), the monitoring of changes in fish farms (Allen *et al.*, 1983), the nutritional role of the intestinal flora (Moriarty, 1990) and the antibiotic resistance profile of the indigenous flora (Spanggaard *et al.*, 1993). During the last few decades, the intestinal microflora of reared fish has been studied with the purpose of finding disease

preventive bacterial strains, which is popularly known as probiotics (Patra and Bandyopadhyay, 2003). The intestinal flora of fish has as a consequence received much attention by several authors (Sugita *et al.*, 1987 ; Onarhem and Raa, 1990 ; Ringo *et al.*, 1995), although the significance of the intestinal microflora with regard to disease protection still remains uncertain.

Many studies have shown that bacterial population in fish guts may be high (Trust *et al.*, 1979) and that fish may derive a substantial portion of their nutrition from bacteria. However, some bacteria, which possess the ability to tolerate the low pH in gastric juices, resist the action of bile acids and lysozyme secreted in the intestines, adhere to the

* Correspondent author :

Scientist and In-charge, Research & Development Division, Latika Sea Food Pvt. Ltd., Jalalkhanbarh, Contai 721 401, West Bengal

mucous and entire wall surface and could persist for a relatively long time (Sugita *et al.*, 1980 ; Sugita *et al.*, 1988). Of these bacteria, some species seems to be indigenous and others are temporary. These facts strongly suggest that the ecology of these microorganisms in fish intestines should be determined in the interest of public health (Patra and Bandyopadhyay, 2002). Some non-pathogenic microflora has a disease preventing effect ; this protection is likely to be mediated by microorganisms that are dominant and present in high numbers (Sugita *et al.*, 1996). Studies of the composition and characteristics of the dominant microflora are, therefore, a crucial part in probiotic research (Spanggaard *et al.*, 2000).

In addition to nutritional benefits, gut bacteria can facilitate ion transport across the host's gut wall (Haq *et al.*, 1986), enhance the host resistance to toxic effects of various chemicals (Dempsey and Kitting, 1987), and destroy opportunistic pathogens (Garriques and Arexalo, 1995). Those factors are related directly to the anatomy and physiology of the host, and include gut structure (Gunzl, 1991), gut passages time (Plante *et al.*, 1989). External environment conditions also affect gut microflora of fishes, including water salinity (Straub and Dixon, 1993), water temperature (Haq *et al.*, 1984), the presence of toxicants in the water (Atlas *et al.*, 1982) and food availability (Haq *et al.*, 1986).

Indian major carps *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala* are the most dominated and widely distributed freshwater fish species in the Indian subcontinent. Since the intestinal microflora play a significant role in the growth and nutrient utilization and also some potent organism responsible for disease resistance and immune responses, it is of importance to know the activity of the microflora in the intestine of Indian major carps of that environment. However, most studies are restricted to species belonging to temperate region or coldwater fishes such as salmon, rainbow trout and Arctic charr. Very little work has been done on the intestinal microflora of farm raised Indian major carps, such as *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala*. Moreover, identification of the normal intestinal microflora of Indian major carps would be of great value in correct inter-

pretation of physiology, nutritional requirements and disease resistance. Considering the above facts, the present investigation has been made to enumerate the generic composition, characterization of the microflora associated with the gastrointestinal tract of farm raised Indian major carps *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala* in order to find out the role of intestinal microflora in the digestive process of these fishes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Aquaculture Research Unit and Microbiology Research Unit of Vidyasagar University, Midnapore, India (Lat. 22°25'N and Long. 87°20'E). Samples were collected from an aqua farm (Mohanpur) located at the vicinity of Midnapore. The culture pond selected was a semi intensive freshwater aquaculture pond practicing mixed culture of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala*. The pond was fertilized with semidried cow dung and the fishes were fed with pelleted feed @ 5% of their body weight daily in two instalments which were prepared with an equal mixture of rice polish and mustard oil cake. Amount of pelleted feed was adjusted in month after sampling.

Indian major carps were caught by cast net. Fishes of medium size (435 ± 28 g) were taken for analysis assuming that they might have a well established pattern of intestinal microflora. Fishes were transferred to water collected from the pond and brought into the laboratory in live condition. Ten fishes of each sample were examined in this study. Upon reaching the laboratory, analysis of the intestinal microbial flora was done on samples consisting of excised and cut open with a pair of sterile scissors. Gut contents were removed by scrapping, and the intestines were washed three times with sterile saline solution to remove non-adherent microflora. The samples were then homogenized with 10 ml distilled water in Stomacher bags (Stomacher, Lab - Blender 400). Dilution series were prepared from the homogenates. To find out the total intestinal microfloral population (IMP), intestinal homogenate were first diluted serially (serial dilution) and then spread over nutrient agar (Hi-Media) plate by following the

spread plate technique. After proper incubation period (room temperature at 28°C - 32°C for 24 h), the individual colonies were counted as colony forming units (CFU).

Morphologically different colonies were picked at random from the plates and restreaked to ensure purity. Smears were prepared on glass slides and stained adopting Gram's method for microscopic examination. Around fifty (50) colonies were selected for each fish, as it was sufficient to obtain a representative diversity of bacterial communities (Hatha *et al.*, 2000). The bacterial isolates were characterized on the basis of Gram stain, spore stain, motility, oxidase, catalase and O/F tests and grouped into various genera using Kaneko (1971) and Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (1986). The ability of the isolates to elaborate the synthesis of various hydrolytic enzymes such as amylase, gelatinase etc., was determined by substrate hydrolyzing assay. Urea splitting ability was determined by inoculating into Christiansen's urea agar medium. Isolates were maintained in nutrient agar slants and stored at 4°C for further study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total microfloral population (TMP) in the intestines of farm raised *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala* is presented in Table 1. The mean population of TMP in *Catla catla* and *Labeo rohita* was 4.62×10^6 and 3.40×10^6 CFU g⁻¹ respectively. The TMP load in the intestine of *Cirrhinus mrigala* was 1 log higher than IMP population of the intestine of *Catla catla* and *Labeo rohita*. TMP population of such magnitude was reported earlier by Trust and Sparrow (1974) in the intestine of Salmonids, Trust *et al.* (1979) in the intestinal tract of *Carassius auratus* and *Ctenopharyngodon idella*, Sugita *et al.* (1988) in *Carassius auratus*, Hossain *et al.* (1999) in *Labeo rohita* and Hatha *et al.* (2000) in *Catla catla*. However, the IMP load was less than those reported in the intestine of salmonids (Trust *et al.*, 1979) as well as that of Arctic charr in the natural environment (Ringo and Strom, 1994). The TMP load in the intestine of *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* was 2 log higher than the present study. The varia-

tions in the microfloral counts between individual fish had been observed previously (Trust and Sparrow, 1974; Yoshimizu and Kimura, 1976). The present studies showed up to 2-log unit difference, which was even lesser than observed by Yoshimizu and Kimura (1976) who found 3 - 4 log units difference when examining the microflora of healthy salmonids. This might be due to the different environmental conditions in the aquaculture farms as the fishes acquire their intestinal microflora from the ambient environment (Patra and Bandyopadhyay, 2003).

Table 1 : Mean and range of population of total intestinal microflora (TIM) in the intestine of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala*

Sample source Intestine of	Mean population (CFU g ⁻¹)	Range of IMP
<i>Catla catla</i>	4.62×10^6	$2.89 \times 10^5 - 4.52 \times 10^7$
<i>Labeo rohita</i>	3.40×10^6	$1.47 \times 10^5 - 4.40 \times 10^7$
<i>Cirrhinus mrigala</i>	1.68×10^7	$1.20 \times 10^6 - 4.02 \times 10^7$

Morphological and physiological grouping of microflora found in the intestine of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala* is presented in Table 2. There was predominance of Gram-negative rods in the intestine of these fishes. The occurrence of Gram-negative rods was around 75% in the intestine of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* but around 90% in the case of *Cirrhinus mrigala*. Similar data were found in the intestines of various fishes such as Arctic charr (Ringo, 1993; Ringo and Strom, 1994). *Labeo rohita* (Hossain *et al.*, 1999), rainbow trout (Spanggaard *et al.*, 2000) and grass carp (Hatha *et al.*, 2000).

Ability of the microfloral isolates to elaborate various hydrolytic enzymes indicated that majority of them were capable of utilizing various substrates such as starch, gelatin and lipid (Tween 80). Urea splitting forms were relatively less (Table 2). The beneficial effects of some intestinal microflora, which is popularly known as probiotics in disease resistance, were well documented (Gatesoupe, 1999; Patra and Bandyopadhyay, 2003). Some investigators had also suggested that microorganisms exert beneficial effects on the digestive process of fish (Riquelme *et al.*, 1996; Gibson *et al.*, 1998; Gram *et al.*, 1999). Flora of the digestive

Table 2 : Per cent incidence of various morphological and physiological groups in the intestine of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala*

Morphological and physiological characteristics	Per cent incidence of various morphological and physiological groups in the intestine of		
	<i>Catla catla</i>	<i>Labeo rohita</i>	<i>Cirrhinus mrigala</i>
Gram positive	32	28	10
Gram negative	68	72	90
Rods	86	84	96
Cocci	14	16	04
Motile	72	78	76
Oxidase positive	80	86	78
Calalase producers	68	64	84
Glucose fermenters	86	92	92
Amyolytic forms	62	69	86
Gelatenolytic forms	80	87	98
Lipolytic forms	70	66	66
Ureolytic forms	40	54	40

tract could act on lipolysis by way of contributing to triglyceride breakdown. Lipolytic activity of bacterial isolates from gastrointestinal tract in grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* had been previously reported by Trust *et al.*, (1979). Flora of the digestive tract could act on lypolysis in different ways by contributing to triglyceride break down through bacterial action and by changing pancreatic lipase secretion or inactivating it with bacterial protease. The intestinal bacteria with antibacterial activity might inhibit the growth of invading bacteria in intestines of freshwater fish (Sugita *et al.*, 1996).

Occurrence of various bacterial genera in the gastrointestinal tract of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala* is presented in Table 3. *Aeromonas* was the dominant genus in the intestines of all these fishes. *Corynebacterium* was the second dominant genus in *Catla catla* while *Vibrio* in *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala*. Presence of *Pseudomonas* was only recorded in *Labeo rohita*. Smith and Davey (1993) reported that the intestinal flora *Pseudomonas fluorescens* might be reduced by *Aeromonas* sp. It had been observed that the increasing trend of *Vibrio* from *Catla*, *Labeo* and *Cirrhinus* while the reverse was found in case of *Bacillus*. Other bacteria present were *Moraxella*, *Micrococcus*, *Flavobacterium* and *Achromobacter* while 2% of the bacteria were unidentified in *Labeo*. In the earlier report, *Vibrio* and *Aeromonas*

Table 3 : Per cent occurrence of different bacteria in the intestine of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala*

Sample source Intestine of	Name of the organisms	Percentage of occurrence
<i>Catla catla</i>	<i>Aeromonas</i> sp.	58
	<i>Corynebacterium</i> sp.	12
	<i>Micrococcus</i> sp.	8
	<i>Moraxella</i> sp.	8
	<i>Vibrio</i> sp.	8
<i>Labeo rohita</i>	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	6
	<i>Aeromonas</i> sp.	62
	<i>Vibrio</i> sp.	12
	<i>Flavobacterium</i> sp.	8
	<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.	6
<i>Cirrhinus mrigala</i>	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	6
	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	4
	Unidentified	2
	<i>Aeromonas</i> sp.	68
	<i>Vibrio</i> sp.	16
<i>Cirrhinus mrigala</i>	<i>Flavobacterium</i> sp.	10
	<i>Achromobacter</i> sp.	2
	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	2
	<i>Micrococcus</i> sp.	2

were the dominant genera in the intestine of gray mullet (*Mugil cephalus*) (Sakate *et al.*, 1988); *Aeromonas* was in grass carp (Hatha *et al.*, 2000) and *Coryneform* in *Labeo rohita* (Hossain *et al.*, 1999). The composition of intestinal bacteria may vary from fish to fish (Hossain *et al.*, 1999), and environment to environment (Sugita *et al.*, 1987). MacMillan and Santucci (1990) found that the composition of the intestinal microflora of farm raised channel catfish varied with season. Sugita *et al.*, (1987), detected day-to-day high fluctuation in the microflora of goldfish. It had been argued that the fish intestine did not have a stable microflora (Yoshimizu *et al.* 1980), although the gastrointestinal tract provided an ecosystem distinctly different from the surrounding water. Different types of bacteria were found in different species which might be due to ontogenetic changes exhibited by the host (Yasuda and Kitao, 1980) or gut passage time might have been relatively slow and gut might have provided a more stable environment for the proliferation of aerobic bacteria (Sugita *et al.*, 1987). Occurrence of intestinal microflora also varied due to age, diets, emotional stress, mucin of host fish and anthropogenic activity on water bodies (Paul, 2002). Austin and Al-Zalrani (1988) distinguished between the flora of the gut content

and associated gut wall flora in rainbow trout and noted that scanning electron microscopy showed only sparse colonization of the wall.

In the present study *Bacillus* was one of the genera in all the fishes. In the earlier report it was observed that *Bacillus* provided both cellular and humoral immune defence, which was found in shrimp gut (Rengpipat *et al.*, 1998). Moreover, there were many reports of isolation of *Bacillus* strains from the intestine of fish (Strom and Olafsen, 1990, Sugita *et al.*, 1988). Queiriz and Boyd (1998) confirmed that a commercial inoculum of *Bacillus* spp. used as probiotics for terrestrial livestock had telluric organs and they were not autochthonous in the gastrointestinal tract, but they might be active during intestinal transit (Gournier-Chateau *et al.*, 1994).

Assuming that the associated intestinal microflora has a disease preventing effect, this protection is likely to be mediated by microorganisms that are present in higher numbers. Studies of the composition and characteristics of the dominant microflora are, therefore, a crucial part in probiotic research. The results would provide information about the 'normal' gut flora, which may act as inhibitory to disease pathogenesis. This would eventually help in designing therapeutic trials as probiotic supplement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to In-charge, Aquaculture Research Unit and Microbiology Research Unit, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore, India for providing necessary facilities for carrying out this research work.

REFERENCES

- Allen, D. A., Austin, B. and Colwell, R. 1983. Numerical taxonomy of bacterial isolates associated with a freshwater fishery. *J. Gen. Microbiology*. **129** : 2043-2062.
- Atlas, R. M., Busdosh, M., Krichevsky, E. J. and Kaneko, T. 1982. Bacterial populations associated with the Arctic amphipod *Boeckosimus affinis*. *Can. J. Microbiol.* **28** : 92-99.
- Austin, B. and Al-Zahram, A. M. J. 1988. The effect of antimicrobial compounds on the gastrointestinal microflora of rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson. *J. Fish. Biol.* **33** : 1-14.
- Dempsey, A. C. and Kitting, C. L. 1987. Characteristics of bacteria isolated from penaeid shrimp. *Crustaceana*. **52** : 90-94.
- Garriques, D. and Arevalo, G. 1995. An evaluation of the production and the use of a live bacterial isolate to manipulate the microbial flora in the commercial production of *Penaeus vannamei* post larvae in Ecuador. In: Browdy, C. L., Hopkins, J. S., (Eds.), *Swimming Through Troubled Water*. Proceedings of the special session on shrimp farming, Aquaculture, 1995. World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, pp. 53-59.
- Gatesoupe, F. J. 1999. The use of probiotics in aquaculture. *Aquaculture*. **180** : 147-165.
- Gibson, L. F., Woodworth, J. and George, A. M. 1998. Probiotic activity of *Aeromonas media* on the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, when challenged with *Vibrio tubiashii*. *Aquaculture*. **169** : 111-120.
- Gournier-Chateau, N., Larpent, J. P., Castellanos, I. and Larpent, J. L. 1994. Les Probiotiques en Alimentation Animale et Humaine. *Technique et Documentation Lavoisier*. Paris, pp 192.
- Gram, L., Melchiorsen, J., Spanggaard, B., Huber, I. and Nielsen, T. F. 1999. Inhibition of *Vibrio anguillarum* by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* AH2, a possible probiotic treatment of fish. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **65** : 969-973.
- Gunzl, H. 1991. The ultrastructure of the posterior gut and caecum in *Alona affinis* (Crustacea, Cladocera). *Zoomorphology*. **110** : 139-144.
- Haq, A., Haq S. A., Grimes, D. J., O'Brian, M., Chu, K. H., Capuzzi, J. M. and Colwell, R. R. 1986. Colonisation of the gut of the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus* by *Vibrio cholerae*. *Appl. And Environ. Micro.* **52** : 586-588.
- Haq, A., West, P. A., Small, E. B., Haq, M. I. and Colwell, R. R. 1984. Influence of water temperature, salinity, and pH on survival and growth of toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* serovar 01 associated with live copepods in laboratory microorganisms. *Appl. And Environ. Micro.* **48** : 420-424.
- Hatha, A. A. M., Kuruvilla, S. and Cheriyan, S. 2000. Bacterial flora of the intestine of farm raised fresh water fishes *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Ctenopharyngodon idella*. *Fish. Technol.* **37** (1) : 59-62.
- Horsley, R. W. 1973. The bacterial flora of the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) in relation to its environment. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* **36** : 377-386.
- Hossain, M. M., Uddin, M. N., Islam, M. N., Chakraborty, S. C. and Kamal, M. 1999. Study on the intestinal bacteria of *Labeo rohita* (Ham.). *Bangladesh J. Fish. Res.* **3** (1) : 63-66.
- Joseph, J., Surendran, P. K. and Perigreen, P. A. 1988. Studies on feed storage of cultured rohu (*Labeo rohita*). *Fish. Technol.* **25** : 105-109.
- Kaneko, S. 1971. *Microbiological study of fresh fish* (2). New

- Book Industry, **13** (7) : 76–80.
- MacMillan, J. R. and Santucci, T. 1990. Seasonal trends in intestinal bacterial flora of farm raised channel catfish. *J. Aquat. Anim. Health*. **2** : 217–222.
- Moriarty, D. J. W. 1990. Interactions of microorganisms and aquatic animals, particularly the nutritional role of the gut flora. In: Lesel, R. (Ed.), *Microbiology in Poecilotherms*. Elsevier Sci. Amsterdam. pp. 217–222.
- Ornarhem, A. M. and Raa, J. 1990. Characteristics and possible biological significance of an autochthonous flora in the intestinal mucosa of seawater fish. In: Lesel, R. (Ed.), *Microbiology in Poecilotherms*. Elsevier Sci. Amsterdam. pp. 197–201.
- Patra, B. C. and Bandyopadhyay, P. 2002. Probiotics can assure nutritional security in aquaculture : An overview. In: *Ecology and Ethology of Aquatic Biota* Vol. 2 (Ed. A. Kumar) Daya Publishing House, New Delhi, India. pp. 220–225.
- Patra, B. C. and Bandyopadhyay, P. 2003. Disease in aquafarming can challenge through probiotics : a new era. In: *Environment and its Challenges*. (Ed. Dr. A. Kumar). Ashish Publishing House, New Delhi, India. Chapter 8 : 99–109.
- Paul, J. 2002. Bacterial flora in human gut - an overview. *Indian J. Microbiol.* **42** : 91–100.
- Plante, C. J., Jumars, P. A. and Baross, J. A. 1989. Rapid bacterial growth in the hindgut of a marine deposit feeder. *Microbial. Eco.* **18** : 29–44.
- Queiroz, J. F. and Boyd, C. E. 1998. Effects of a bacterial inoculum in channel catfish ponds. *J. World Aquacult. Soc.* **29** : 67–73.
- Rengpipat, S., Phianphak, W. and Piyatiratitivorakul, S. 1998. Effects of probiotic bacterium on black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* survival and growth. *Aquaculture*. **167** : 301–313.
- Ringo, E. and Strom, E. 1994. Microflora of Arctic charr, *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.) : gastrointestinal microflora of free-living fish and effects of diet and salinity on intestinal microflora. *Aquacult. Fish. Manage.* **25** : 623–627.
- Ringo, E. 1993. Does dietary linoleic acid affect intestinal microflora in Arctic charr, *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.)?. *Aquacult. Fish. Manage.* **24** : 133–135.
- Ringo, E., Strom, E. and Tabachek, J. A. 1995. Intestinal microflora of salmonids : a review. *Aquacult. Res.* **26** : 773–789.
- Riquelme, C., Araya, R., Uchida, A., Satomi, M. and Ishida, Y. 1996. Isolation of a native bacterial strain from the scallop *Argopecten purpuratus* with inhibitory effects against pathogenic vibrios. *J. Shellfish Res.* **25** : 369–374.
- Sakata, T., Toda, S. and Kakimoto, D. 1988. Variations in the intestinal microflora of grey mullet, *Mugil Cephalus*. *Aqua Sci. and Abs.* Part I, pp. 18 : 127
- Smith, P. and Davey, S. 1993. Evidences for the competitive exclusion of *Aeromonas salmonicida* from fish with stress-inducible furunculosis by a fluorescent pseudomonad. *J. Fish Dis.* **16** : 521–524.
- Spanggaard, B., Huber, I., Nielsen, J., Neilsen, T., Appel, K. F. and Gram, L. 2000. The microflora of rainbow trout intestine : a comparison of traditional and molecular identification. *Aquaculture*. **182** : 1–15.
- Spanggaard, B., Jorgensen, F., Gram, L. and Huss, H. H. 1993. Antibiotic resistance in bacteria isolated from three freshwater fish farms and an unpolluted stream in Denmark. *Aquaculture*. **115** : 195–207.
- Straub, D. V. and Dixon, B. A. 1993. Bacteriological flora of the brine shrimp (*Artemia franciscana*) from a hyper saline pond in San Francisco Bay, California. *Aquaculture*, **118** : 309–313.
- Strom, E. and Olafsen, J. A. 1990. The indigenous microflora of wild-captured juvenile cod in net-pen rearing. In: Lesel, R. (Ed.), *Microbiology in Poecilotherms*. Elsevier Sci. Amsterdam. B. V. (Biochemical Division). pp. 181–185.
- Sugita, H., Enomoto, A. and Deguchi, Y. 1980. Intestinal microflora in the fry of *Tilapia mossambica*. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish.* **48** : 875.
- Sugita, H., Matsuo, N., Shibuya, K. and Deguchi, Y. 1996. Production of antibacterial substances by intestinal bacteria isolated from coastal crab and fish species. *J. Mar. Biotechnol.* **4** : 220–223.
- Sugita, H., Shibuya, K., Hanada, H. and Deguchi, Y. 1987. Antibacterial abilities of intestinal microflora of the river fish. *Fish. Sci.* **63** : 378–383.
- Sugita, H., Tsunohara, M., Ohkoshi, T. and Deguchi, Y. 1988. The establishment of an intestinal microflora in developing goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) of culture ponds. *Microb. Ecoi.* **15** : 333–344.
- Trust, T. J. and Sparrow, R. A. H. 1974. The bacterial : flora in the alimentary tract of freshwater salmonid fishes. *Can. J. Microbiol.* **20** : 1219–1228.
- Trust, T. J., Bull, L. M., Currie, B. R. and Buckley, J. T. 1979. Obligate anaerobic bacteria in the gastrointestinal microflora of the grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*), goldfish (*Carassius auratus*), and rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada.* **36** : 1174–1179.
- Yasuda, K. and Kitao, T. 1980. Bacterial flora in the digestive tract of prawn, *Penaeus japonicus* Bate. *Aquaculture*. **19** : 229–234.
- Yoshimizu, M. and Kimura, T. 1976. Study of the intestinal microflora of salmonids. *Fish. Pathol.* **10** : 243–259.
- Yoshimizu, M., Kimura T. and Sakai, M. 1980. Microflora of the embryo and the fry of salmonids. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish.* **46** : 967–975.

(Accepted for publication December 28 2005)